Master Informatics Eng.

2019/20

A.J.Proença

Memory Hierarchy

(some slides are borrowed, mod's in green)

Introduction

- Programmers want unlimited amounts of memory with low latency
- Fast memory technology is more expensive per bit than slower memory
- Solution: organize memory system into a hierarchy
  - Entire addressable memory space available in largest, slowest memory
  - Incrementally smaller and faster memories, each containing a subset of the memory below it, proceed in steps up toward the processor
- Temporal and spatial locality insures that nearly all references can be found in smaller memories
  - Gives the illusion of a large, fast memory being presented to the processor

Memory Performance Gap



Memory Hierarchy Design

- Memory hierarchy design becomes more crucial with recent multi-core processors:
  - Aggregate peak bandwidth grows with # cores:
    - Intel Core i7 can generate two references per core per clock
    - Four cores and 3.2 GHz clock
      - 25.6 billion* 64-bit data references/second +
      - 12.8 billion* 128-bit instruction references
      - = 409.6 GB/s!
    - DRAM bandwidth is only 6% of this (25 GB/s)
    - Requires:
      - Multi-port, pipelined caches
      - Two levels of cache per core
      - Shared third-level cache on chip

** US billion = 10^9*

The Memory Hierarchy

The BIG Picture

- Common principles apply at all levels of the memory hierarchy
  - Based on notions of caching
- At each level in the hierarchy
  - Block placement
  - Finding a block
  - Replacement on a miss
  - Write policy

§5.5 A Common Framework for Memory Hierarchies

Direct Mapped Cache

- Location determined by address
- Direct mapped: only one choice
  - (Block address) modulo (#Blocks in cache)



- #Blocks is a power of 2
- Use low-order address bits

Associative Caches

- Fully associative
  - Allow a given block to go in any cache entry
  - Requires all entries to be searched at once
  - Comparator per entry (expensive)
- *n*-way set associative
  - Each set contains *n* entries
  - Block number determines which set
    - (Block number) modulo (#Sets in cache)
  - Search all entries in a given set at once
  - *n* comparators (less expensive)

How Much Associativity

- Increased associativity decreases miss rate
  - But with diminishing returns
- Simulation of a system with 64KB D-cache, 16-word blocks, SPEC2000
  - 1-way: 10.3%
  - 2-way: 8.6%
  - 4-way: 8.3%
  - 8-way: 8.1%

Block Placement

- Determined by associativity
  - Direct mapped (1-way associative)
    - One choice for placement
  - n-way set associative
    - n choices within a set
  - Fully associative
    - Any location
- Higher associativity reduces miss rate
  - Increases complexity, cost, and access time

Replacement Policy

- Direct mapped: no choice
- Set associative
  - Prefer non-valid entry, if there is one
  - Otherwise, choose among entries in the set
- Least-recently used (LRU)
  - Choose the one unused for the longest time
    - Simple for 2-way, manageable for 4-way, too hard beyond that
- Random
  - Gives approximately the same performance as LRU for high associativity

Write Policy

- Write-through
  - Update both upper and lower levels
  - Simplifies replacement, but may require write buffer
- Write-back
  - Update upper level only
  - Update lower level when block is replaced
  - Need to keep more state
- Virtual memory
  - Only write-back is feasible, given disk write latency

Memory Hierarchy Basics

$$\text{CPU}_{\text{exec-time}} = (\text{CPU}_{\text{clock-cycles}} + \text{Mem}_{\text{stall-cycles}}) \times \text{Clock cycle time}$$

$$\text{CPU}_{\text{exec-time}} = (\text{IC} \times \text{CPI}_{\text{CPU}} + \text{Mem}_{\text{stall-cycles}}) \times \text{Clock cycle time}$$

$$\text{Mem}_{\text{stall-cycles}} = \text{IC} \times \text{... Miss rate ... Mem accesses ... Miss penalty...}$$

Memory Hierarchy Basics

$$\text{CPU}_{\text{exec-time}} = (\text{CPU}_{\text{clock-cycles}} + \text{Mem}_{\text{stall-cycles}}) \times \text{Clock cycle time}$$

$$\text{Mem}_{\text{stall-cycles}} = \text{IC} \times \text{Misses} / \text{Instruction} \times \text{Miss Penalty}$$

$$\frac{\text{Misses}}{\text{Instruction}} = \frac{\text{Miss rate} \times \text{Memory accesses}}{\text{Instruction count}} = \text{Miss rate} \times \frac{\text{Memory accesses}}{\text{Instruction}}$$

$$\text{Average memory access time} = \text{Hit time} + \text{Miss rate} \times \text{Miss penalty}$$

- Note1: miss rate/penalty are often different for reads and writes
- Note2: speculative and multithreaded processors may execute other instructions during a miss
  - Reduces performance impact of misses

Cache Performance Example

- Given
  - I-cache miss rate = 2%
  - D-cache miss rate = 4%
  - Miss penalty = 100 cycles
  - Base CPI (ideal cache) = 2
  - Load & stores are 36% of instructions
- Miss cycles per instruction
  - I-cache:
  - D-cache:
- Actual CPI = 2 + ?? + ?? = ??

Cache Performance Example

- Given
  - I-cache miss rate = 2%
  - D-cache miss rate = 4%
  - Miss penalty = 100 cycles
  - Base CPI (ideal cache) = 2
  - Load & stores are 36% of instructions
- Miss cycles per instruction
  - I-cache: 0.02 × 100 = 2
  - D-cache: 0.36 × 0.04 × 100 = 1.44
- Actual CPI = 2 + 2 + 1.44 = 5.44

Memory Hierarchy Basics

- Miss rate
  - Fraction of cache access that result in a miss
- Causes of misses (3C's +1)
  - Compulsory
    - First reference to a block
  - Capacity
    - Blocks discarded and later retrieved
  - Conflict
    - Program makes repeated references to multiple addresses from different blocks that map to the same location in the cache
  - Coherency
    - Different processors should see same value in same location

The 3C's in diff cache sizes



Cache Coherence

- Coherence
  - All reads by any processor must return the most recently written value
  - Writes to the same location by any two processors are seen in the same order by all processors

    (Coherence defines the behaviour of reads & writes to the same memory location)

- Consistency
  - When a written value will be returned by a read
  - If a processor writes location A followed by location B, any processor that sees the new value of B must also see the new value of A

    (Consistency defines the behaviour of reads & writes with respect to accesses to other memory locations)

Enforcing Coherence

- Coherent caches provide:
  - *Migration*: movement of data
  - *Replication*: multiple copies of data
- Cache coherence protocols
  - Directory based
    - Sharing status of each block kept in one location
  - Snooping
    - Each core tracks sharing status of each block

Memory Hierarchy Basics

- Six basic cache optimizations:
  - Larger block size
    - Reduces compulsory misses
    - Increases capacity and conflict misses, increases miss penalty
  - Larger total cache capacity to reduce miss rate
    - Increases hit time, increases power consumption
  - Higher associativity
    - Reduces conflict misses
    - Increases hit time, increases power consumption
  - Multilevel caches to reduce miss penalty
    - Reduces overall memory access time
  - Giving priority to read misses over writes
    - Reduces miss penalty
  - Avoiding address translation in cache indexing
    - Reduces hit time

Multilevel Caches

- Primary cache attached to CPU
  - Small, but fast
- Level-2 cache services misses from primary cache
  - Larger, slower, but still faster than main memory
- Main memory services L-2 cache misses
- Some high-end systems include L-3 cache

Multilevel Cache Example

- Given
  - CPU base CPI = 1, clock rate = 4GHz
  - Miss rate/instruction = 2%
  - Main memory access time = 100ns
- With just primary cache
  - Miss penalty = ??? = 400 cycles
  - Effective CPI = 1 + ??? = 9
- Now add L-2 cache …

Multilevel Cache Example

- Given
  - CPU base CPI = 1, clock rate = 4GHz
  - Miss rate/instruction = 2%
  - Main memory access time = 100ns
- With just primary cache
  - Miss penalty = 100ns/0.25ns = 400 cycles
  - Effective CPI = 1 + 0.02 × 400 = 9
- Now add L-2 cache …

Example (cont.)

- Now add L-2 cache
  - Access time = 5ns
  - Global miss rate to main memory = 0.5%
- Primary miss with L-2 hit
  - Penalty = 5ns/0.25ns = 20 cycles
- Primary miss with L-2 miss
  - Extra penalty = 400 cycles
- CPI = 1 + 0.02 × 20 + 0.005 × 400 = 3.4
- Performance ratio = 9/3.4 = 2.6

Multilevel On-Chip Caches

Intel Nehalem 4-core processor



Per core: 32KB L1 I-cache, 32KB L1 D-cache, 512KB L2 cache

3-Level Cache Organization

	Intel Nehalem	AMD Opteron X4
L1 caches (per core)	L1 I-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 4-way, approx LRU replacement, hit time n/a L1 D-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 8-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a	L1 I-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 2-way, approx LRU replacement, hit time 3 cycles L1 D-cache: 32KB, 64-byte blocks, 2-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time 9 cycles
L2 unified cache (per core)	256KB, 64-byte blocks, 8-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a	512KB, 64-byte blocks, 16-way, approx LRU replacement, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a
L3 unified cache (shared)	8MB, 64-byte blocks, 16-way, replacement n/a, write-back/allocate, hit time n/a	2MB, 64-byte blocks, 32-way, replace block shared by fewest cores, write-back/allocate, hit time 32 cycles

n/a: data not available